Project Name	MFFP: AMP 7 (Peatland Restoration)			
Project Manager	Mollie Hunt			
Date	July-21			
Version Control	V2			

Date Raised	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impact on Project / Programme	Impact (I)	Probability (P)	Rating (I x P)	Risk Rating	Mitigating Actions	Target Resolution Date	Action Owner	Date Last Updated	Status
18/06/2021	Water Companies / MFFP/PDNPA	Work programme and purchase orders not agreed with Yorkshire Water, leaving staff resource unreserved	Project not able to deliver as resource is moved to other projects that can confirm work programme and purchase orders	3	1	3	Low	Engage with Water Companies proactively to confirm the work programme and associated purchase orders to secure the staff resource	31/07/2021	МН	18/06/2021	Open
18/06/2021	Water Companies / MFFP/PDNPA	Delays to work programme due to reaching project approval limit of £3 million	Inability to maximise project delivery in year 2021-22 and therefore putting pressure to deliver more 2022-2024	2	1	2	Low	Plan Programme and Resources committee approval before the work delivery values need to be confirmed in October 2021	30/09/2021	МН	18/06/2021	Open
01/09/2019	Water Companies / MFFP/PDNPA	Possibly Restrictive KPI setting leading to the potential for PDNPA being in breach of Partner Agreement if targets can't be met.	Inability to deliver to the required scope.	2	1	2	Low	All KPI and deliverable setting will be done in collaboration between Water company and MFFP. Partnering Agreement approach to be taken to PDNPA working with water company's which will include an iterative process to defining the scope of works through the AMP period after an initial agreement on an overall area based KPI (hectares). MFFP assess an early stage the proposed area based KPIs and the project timescales and budget to ensure that the target is achievable. MFFP to fully impact the area KPI against the forward MFFP programme of works to ensure there is capacity over the required period. Progress: Resolved for Severn Trent, ongoing with Yorkshire Water	31/10/2021	МН	18/06/2021	No change
01/09/2019	Water Companies / MFFP/PDNPA	Inability to evidence deliverables / outcomes Prior agreement required on Monitoring arrangements	Inability to evidence outcomes could cause issues during delivery.	2	1	2	Low	MFFP to engage water company partners and agree monitoring requirements to include both compliance criteria to sign-off against the deliverable to be agreed and also in a more detailed academic sense where research outcomes may also constitute a project deliverable/KPI. Progress: Resolved for Severn Trent, ongoing with Yorkshire Water	31/10/2021	МН	18/06/2021	No change
01/09/2019	MFFP	Projects fail to deliver on cost, quality and timescale aspirations Poor project delivery leading to; Reputational risk. Contractual commitment failure.	Reputational risk to MFFP/PDNPA landscape doesn't receive vital conservation works	2	1	2	Low	MFFP have well defined and established Programme and Project Management protocols in place that will be utilised in the delivery of this project. MFFP Project managers are Prince 2 trained All the proposed and potential works to be delivered within the scope of this project fall completely within MFFP established areas of expertise.	31/03/2022	МН	18/06/2021	No change

Date the risk was identified and added to the RAD log					
Enter the name of the individual who is accountable for the Risk					
Describe each risk clearly and succinctly, identifying the root cause of each one					
Detail Projec	t Delivery impacts.				
1	Insignificant / Negligible				
2	Moderate				
3	Critical / Catastrophic				
1	Very Unlikely / Rare				
2	Possible				
3	Almost Certain				
Rating is calculated by impact multiplied by probability					
Enter risk mitigation and describe how the mitigation will take place					
Target date for completion of the mitigation action					
Person responsible for implementing the mitigation action					
Date of last update provided on the Risk					
Status - closed ,reducing, increasing, or no change					

Appendix 1